# SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, AUGUST 10, 2001 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

A095103/S098413	Aleksandr Polyak v. San Francisco County Superior Court; Braynin, RPI – September 14, 2001.
B139382/S098577	People v. Bronson Ly – September 19, 2001.
B142189/S098397	People v. Angel Ulloa – September 14, 2001.
D037645/S098437	Warren Turner v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board; Pacific Corinthian Life Insurance Company – September 17, 2001.
E026809/S098377	People v. Tyrone L. Moten – September 16, 2001.
E029641/S098396	James Clifton Johnson v. Riverside County Superior Court; People, RPI – September 14, 2001.
F036604/S098287	In re Jason Dan Taylor on Habeas Corpus – September 12, 2001.
F038256/S098386	John Carl Agnew v. Fresno County Superior Court; People, RPI – September 14, 2001.

#### S073709 In re Ward Francis Weaver

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including September 19, 2001.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

## S089463 In re Dennis Harold Lawley

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including September 4, 2001.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

## S096349 People, Respondent

V.

Edward Charles Willis, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to and including September 11, 2001.

## S051342 People, Respondent

 $\mathbf{V}$ .

John Cunningham, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Richard P. Stookey is hereby appointed to represent appellant John Cunningham for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

#### S099538 Mark S. Sokolsky, Petitioner

v.

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v*. *Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.